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#### Abstract

We model the polymer as a self-avoiding walk on a semi-infinite square lattice with an energy contribution $-\varepsilon$ from each step along the lattice boundary. The critical adsorption temperature $T_{\mathrm{a}}$ and the 'ordinary' and 'special' surface exponents of the walk above and at the adsorption temperature, respectively, are estimated with transfer-matrix finite-size scaling methods. For the adsorption temperature we obtain $\exp \left(\varepsilon / k T_{\mathrm{a}}\right)=$ $2.041 \pm 0.002$, and for the crossover and susceptibility exponents of the special transition, $\phi^{\mathrm{sp}}=0.501 \pm 0.003$ and $\gamma_{1}^{\mathrm{sp}}=1.454 \pm 0.004$.


We consider the self-avoiding walk on a semi-infinite lattice with energy defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
E=-\varepsilon N_{1} . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $\varepsilon$ is a positive constant, and $N_{1}$ is the number of steps that coincide with the edge of the lattice. This is a standard model for a long flexible polymer in a good solvent with an attractive short-range force between the polymer and the container wall (Eisenriegler et al 1982, Binder and Kremer 1985 and references therein).

The model exhibits a transition at a critical adsorption temperature $T_{\mathrm{a}}$, with a desorbed phase for $T>T_{\mathrm{a}}$ and an adsorbed phase for $T<T_{\mathrm{a}}$. Using the correspondence (De Gennes 1979) between this transition and magnetic phase transitions of the semi-infinite $n$-vector model in the limit $n \rightarrow 0$, Eisenriegler et al (1982) have given a comprehensive discussion of the universal exponents that characterise the family of walks of $N$ steps in the large- $N$ limit. We recall the definitions of three surface exponents $\gamma_{1}^{\mathrm{o}}, \gamma_{1}^{\mathrm{sp}}, \phi^{\mathrm{sp}}$ which, together with bulk exponents and scaling relations, determine the other most common surface exponents. The partition function $Z_{1}(N, T)$ of walks with one end attached to the boundary has the asymptotic form

$$
\begin{array}{lr}
\ln Z_{1}(N, T)=N \ln q_{\mathrm{eff}}+\left(\gamma_{\mathrm{i}}^{\mathrm{o}}-1\right) \ln N & T>T_{\mathrm{a}} \\
\ln Z_{1}(N, T)=N \ln q_{\mathrm{eff}}+\left(\gamma_{\mathrm{p}}^{\mathrm{sp}}-1\right) \ln N & T=T_{\mathrm{a}} \tag{2b}
\end{array}
$$

as $N \rightarrow \infty$ with fixed $T$. Here $q_{\text {eff }}$ is an effective coordination number or connectivity constant. For $T>T_{\mathrm{a}}$ the average number $\left\langle N_{1}\right\rangle$ of steps of the walk in contact with the boundary remains finite in the limit $N \rightarrow \infty$. However, at the critical temperature

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle N_{1}\right\rangle \sim N^{\phi^{s p}} \quad T=T_{\mathrm{a}} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\phi^{\text {sp }}$ is non-zero. The superscripts $o$ and $s p$ of the exponents underline the correspondence with the 'ordinary' and 'special' or 'multicritical' transitions of magnetic systems (Eisenriegler et al 1982, Binder 1983).

The bulk and surface exponents of the self-avoiding walk in two and three dimensions have been estimated by a variety of methods including exact enumerations, expansions in $\varepsilon=4-d$, and Monte Carlo techniques (see Binder and Kremer 1985 for a recent review). In addition, in two dimensions exact values $\gamma=43 / 32, \nu=3 / 4$ for the bulk exponents have been predicted from Coulomb-gas (Nienhuis 1982, Den Nijs 1983) and conformal invariance (Dotsenko and Fateev 1984, Cardy 1987) arguments. Extending the conformal invariance approach to the half-plane geometry, Cardy (1984a) has derived the result $\gamma_{1}^{\circ}=61 / 64$ for the surface exponent in equation ( $2 a$ ).

Some of the most precise numerical estimates of the scaling indices of the selfavoiding walk in two dimensions have been obtained with transfer-matrix finite-size scaling techniques (Derrida 1981, Saleur and Derrida 1986, Duplantier and Saleur 1986, Saleur 1987a, b). In this paper we use the same approach to calculate the critical adsorption temperature and the surface exponents of the model described above on the square lattice. Our results, like those of Duplantier and Saleur (1986), confirm the predictions of conformal invariance for the high-temperature phase. The main motivation of our work was to study the critical behaviour right at the adsorption temperature. We hoped to improve upon the precision of earlier determinations of $T_{\mathrm{a}}$ and $\phi^{\mathrm{sp}}$ (De'Bell 1979, Ishinabe 1983, Kremer 1983) and to estimate $\gamma_{1}^{\text {sp }}$, which, as far as we know, has not been evaluated previously in two dimensions.

In our finite-size scaling analysis, self-avoiding walks on a strip of square lattice with infinite length and a width of $L$ lattice constants are considered. We work in the grand canonical ensemble and assign a surface fugacity $K_{\mathrm{s}}$ to each step along either edge of the strip and a bulk fugacity $K$ to each of the other steps. Generalising the approach of Derrida and Saleur slightly to accommodate the two fugacities $K_{\mathrm{s}}$ and $K$, we consider transfer matrices $T_{L}^{(i)}\left(K_{\mathrm{s}}, K\right), i=1,2$, for one and two self-avoiding walks on the strip, respectively. The largest eigenvalue $\lambda_{L}^{(i)}$ of $T_{L}^{(i)}$ determines the characteristic length

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi_{L}^{(i)}\left(K_{\mathrm{s}}, K\right)=-\left[\ln \lambda_{L}^{(i)}\left(K_{\mathrm{s}}, K\right)\right]^{-1} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the $n \rightarrow 0$ limit of the $n$-vector model equivalent to the polymer model, $K_{\mathrm{s}}=J_{\mathrm{s}} / k T$ and $K=J / k T$ represent the nearest-neighbour surface and bulk couplings of spins. The quantities $\xi_{L}^{(1)}, \xi_{L}^{(2)}$ are the usual spin-spin and energy-energy correlation lengths, respectively.

The finite-size scaling properties (Barber 1983) of $\xi_{L}^{(i)}$ follow from standard renormalisation group phenomenology for semi-infinite magnetic systems (see, e.g., Burkhardt and Eisenriegler 1977). For ( $K_{\mathrm{s}}, K$ ) sufficiently close to the fixed point ( $K_{\mathrm{s}}^{*}, K^{*}$ ) and for $L$ sufficiently large, $\xi_{L}^{(i)}$ has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi_{L}^{(i)}\left(K_{\mathrm{s}}, K\right)=L F^{(i)}\left(L^{y_{\mathrm{s}}}\left(K_{\mathrm{s}}-K_{\mathrm{s}}^{*}\right), L^{y}\left(K-K^{*}\right)\right) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $F^{(i)}$ is a scaling function of two variables. The appropriate fixed points for the polymer problem for $T>T_{\mathrm{a}}$ and $T=T_{\mathrm{a}}$ are the fixed points of the ordinary and special transitions, respectively, of the semi-infinite $n \rightarrow 0$ vector model (Eisenriegler et al 1982).

At both the ordinary and special fixed points, $K^{*}=q_{\text {eff }}^{-1}$ is the bulk critical coupling of the $n \rightarrow 0$ vector model and the reciprocal of the effective coordination number defined in equation (2). For the square lattice $K^{*}$ has the value

$$
\begin{equation*}
K^{*}=0.3790528 \pm 0.0000025 \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

(Derrida 1981, Enting and Guttman 1985).
We analyse our numerical data for $\xi_{L}^{(i)}\left(K_{\mathrm{s}}, K\right)$ in two ways. First we set $K$ equal to the known critical value of equation (6). Then, in accord with equation (5), we
calculate sequences $K_{\mathrm{s}}^{*}(L), y_{\mathrm{s}}(L), y(L)=\nu(L)^{-1}$ that approach the desired exact values as $L \rightarrow \infty$ from the phenomenological renormalisation group equations (Nightingale 1976, Barber 1983)

$$
\begin{align*}
& L^{-1} \xi_{L}^{(i)}\left(K_{\mathrm{s}}^{*}(L), K^{*}\right)=(L-1)^{-1} \xi_{L-1}^{(i)}\left(K_{\mathrm{s}}^{*}(L), K^{*}\right)  \tag{7a}\\
& 1+y_{\mathrm{s}}(L)=\ln \left[\left(\partial \xi_{L}^{(i)} / \partial K_{\mathrm{s}}\right) /\left(\partial \xi_{L-1}^{(i)} / \partial K_{\mathrm{s}}\right)\right] / \ln [L /(L-1)]  \tag{7b}\\
& 1+y(L)=\ln \left[\left(\partial \xi_{L}^{(i)} / \partial K\right) /\left(\partial \xi_{L-1}^{(i)} / \partial K\right)\right] / \ln [L /(L-1)] \tag{7c}
\end{align*}
$$

The derivatives in equations ( $7 b$ ) and ( $7 c$ ) are all evaluated at $K_{\mathrm{s}}=K_{\mathrm{s}}^{*}(L), K=K^{*}$. The estimates obtained in this way from the spin-spin and energy-energy correlation lengths ( $i=1,2$ ) with $L=3, \ldots, 10$ are listed in tables 1 and 2 , respectively.

Table 1. Fixed-point couplings and critical exponents obtained from the one-polymer transfer matrix with equations (6), (7), and (10).

|  | $L$ | $K_{\mathrm{s}}^{*}$ | $y$ | $y_{\mathrm{s}}$ | $2 x_{\mathrm{s}}^{(1)}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Ordinary | 3 | 0.2746752 | 4.1347527 | -1.1754341 | 1.2360212 |
| transition | 4 | 0.2719838 | 1.9354722 | -1.0671939 | 1.2437600 |
|  | 5 | 0.2706985 | 1.6166304 | -1.0361482 | 1.2464723 |
|  | 6 | 0.2699783 | 1.4988134 | -1.0227016 | 1.2476754 |
|  | 7 | 0.2695275 | 1.4415244 | -1.0156387 | 1.2482993 |
|  | 8 | 0.2692197 | 1.4092846 | -1.0114651 | 1.2486631 |
|  | 9 | 0.2689945 | 1.3893653 | -1.0087927 | 1.2488952 |
|  | 10 | 0.2688210 | 1.3762217 | -1.0070173 | 1.2490542 |
|  | Exact |  | $4 / 3$ | -1 | $5 / 4$ |
| Special | 3 | 0.7786861 | 2.9449534 | 0.6766766 | -0.0907177 |
| transition | 4 | 0.7745255 | 2.1508281 | 0.6829858 | -0.0817514 |
|  | 5 | 0.7732291 | 1.9080363 | 0.6843231 | -0.0783438 |
|  | 6 | 0.7728089 | 1.7866341 | 0.6840503 | -0.0770568 |
|  | 7 | 0.7726763 | 1.7126116 | 0.6832882 | -0.0765967 |
|  | 8 | 0.7726498 | 1.6622500 | 0.6824092 | -0.0764947 |
|  | 9 | 0.7726652 | 1.6254964 | 0.6815332 | -0.0765598 |
|  | 10 | 0.7726968 | 1.5973457 | 0.6807112 | -0.0767043 |
|  | Exact |  | $4 / 3$ |  |  |

In the second way of analysing the data we define a two-parameter phenomenological renormalisation $\left(K_{\mathrm{s}}, K\right) \rightarrow\left(K_{\mathrm{s}}^{\prime}, K^{\prime}\right)$ under a rescaling of lengths with scale factor $b=L /(L-1)$ according to

$$
\begin{align*}
& (L-1)^{-1} \xi_{L-1}^{(1)}\left(K_{\mathrm{s}}^{\prime}, K^{\prime}\right)=L^{-1} \xi_{L}^{(1)}\left(K_{\mathrm{s}}, K\right)  \tag{8a}\\
& (L-1)^{-1} \xi_{L-1}^{(2)}\left(K_{\mathrm{s}}^{\prime}, K^{\prime}\right)=L^{-1} \xi_{L}^{(2)}\left(K_{\mathrm{s}}, K\right) \tag{8b}
\end{align*}
$$

From the fixed points of (8) one obtains a sequence of estimates ( $\left.K_{\mathrm{s}}^{*}(L), K^{*}(L)\right)$ for the fixed-point couplings in the semi-infinite case. Linearising the flow equations around the fixed point in the standard manner yields estimates $y_{\mathrm{s}}(L), y(L)=\nu(L)^{-1}$ for the critical exponents. The data obtained in this way are listed in table 3.

Table 2. Fixed-point couplings and critical exponents obtained from the two-polymer transfer matrix with equations (6), (7), and (10).

|  | $L$ | $K_{\mathrm{s}}^{*}$ | $y$ | $y_{\mathrm{s}}$ | $2 x_{\mathrm{s}}^{(2)}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Ordinary | 4 | 0.2486936 | 3.2463712 | -1.7096323 | 4.0165487 |
| transition | 5 | 0.2372967 | 1.8682705 | -1.0552663 | 4.0857424 |
|  | 6 | 0.2428591 | 1.6462368 | -1.0429928 | 4.0592885 |
|  | 7 | 0.2469462 | 1.5397126 | -1.0298724 | 4.0429431 |
|  | 8 | 0.2500413 | 1.4797257 | -1.0216335 | 4.0322397 |
|  | 9 | 0.2524526 | 1.4424229 | -1.0163622 | 4.0248850 |
|  | 10 | 0.2543756 | 1.4176031 | -1.0128307 | 4.0196352 |
|  | Exact |  | $4 / 3$ | -1 | 4 |
| Special | 4 | 0.7699509 | 2.9503669 | 0.6864312 | 0.6504941 |
| transition | 5 | 0.7737605 | 2.3342868 | 0.6815401 | 0.6300375 |
|  | 6 | 0.7750363 | 2.0797769 | 0.6794740 | 0.6220777 |
|  | 7 | 0.7754583 | 1.9379577 | 0.6783135 | 0.6190992 |
|  | 8 | 0.7755513 | 1.8465519 | 0.6775285 | 0.6183700 |
|  | 9 | 0.7755094 | 1.7822629 | 0.6769316 | 0.6187301 |
|  | 10 | 0.7754122 | 1.7343258 | 0.6764441 | 0.6196327 |
|  | Exact |  | $4 / 3$ |  |  |

Table 3. Fixed-point couplings and critical exponents obtained from the one- and twopolymer transfer matrices with equations (8) and (10).

|  | $L$ | $K^{*}$ | $K_{s}^{*}$ | $y$ | $y_{s}$ | $2 x_{\mathrm{s}}^{(1)}$ | $2 x_{\mathrm{s}}^{(2)}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Ordinary | 6 | 0.3739979 | 0.1993406 | 1.2959819 | -0.9531863 | 1.4170981 | 4.3542664 |
| transition | 7 | 0.3764184 | 0.2144008 | 1.3126393 | -1.0072346 | 1.3607476 | 4.2368078 |
|  | 8 | 0.3775091 | 0.2238463 | 1.3198180 | -1.0151048 | 1.3293343 | 4.1708229 |
|  | 9 | 0.3780798 | 0.2306195 | 1.3237910 | -1.0151587 | 1.3094443 | 4.1287047 |
|  | 10 | 0.3784062 | 0.2357651 | 1.3262400 | -1.0136400 | 1.2959735 | 4.0999900 |
|  | Exact |  |  | $4 / 3$ | -1 | $5 / 4$ | 4 |
| Special | 4 | 0.3810506 | 0.7631403 | 1.5250151 | 0.7009667 | -0.0631970 | 0.6757845 |
| transition | 5 | 0.3788458 | 0.7746615 | 1.4667168 | 0.6747587 | -0.0809357 | 0.6263708 |
|  | 6 | 0.3782735 | 0.7791090 | 1.4322688 | 0.6666915 | -0.0896168 | 0.6040111 |
|  | 7 | 0.3781689 | 0.7808091 | 1.4099974 | 0.6638805 | -0.0942919 | 0.5934247 |
|  | 8 | 0.3782073 | 0.7813411 | 1.3946479 | 0.6629691 | -0.0969515 | 0.5885426 |
|  | 9 | 0.3782861 | 0.7813470 | 1.3835415 | 0.6628380 | -0.0984975 | 0.5866488 |
|  | 10 | 0.3783706 | 0.7811121 | 1.3752059 | 0.6630415 | -0.0993860 | 0.5864034 |
|  | Exact |  |  | $4 / 3$ |  |  |  |

Additional numerical information on surface critical indices follows from the general relation (Cardy 1984b)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{L \rightarrow \infty} L^{-1} \xi_{L}^{(i)}\left(K_{\mathrm{s}}^{*}, K^{*}\right)=\left[\pi x_{\mathrm{s}}^{(i)}\right]^{-1} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

between the amplitude of a correlation length and the corresponding surface scaling index. In tables $1-3$ sequences of $x_{\mathrm{s}}^{(i)}(L)$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{\mathrm{s}}^{(i)}(L)=L\left[\pi \xi_{L}^{(i)}\left(K_{\mathrm{s}}^{*}(L), K^{*}(L)\right)\right]^{-1} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

are given that approach the limiting value $\boldsymbol{X}_{\mathrm{s}}^{(i)}$ of equation (9) in the large- $L$ limit. Since the one and two-polymer correlation lengths $\xi_{L}^{(1)}$ and $\xi_{L}^{(2)}$ correspond to spin-spin and energy-energy correlations in the $n \rightarrow 0$ vector model, the $x_{\mathrm{s}}^{(i)}$ are related to the conventional exponents of surface critical phenomena (Binder 1983) by

$$
\begin{align*}
& x_{\mathrm{s}}^{(1)}=\eta_{\|} / 2=\beta_{1} / \nu=\left[2+\left(\gamma-2 \gamma_{1}\right) / \nu\right] / 2  \tag{11a}\\
& x_{\mathrm{s}}^{(2)}=1-y_{\mathrm{s}}=1-\phi / \nu . \tag{11b}
\end{align*}
$$

The numerical data in tables 1-3 for the high-temperature desorbed-polymer phase (ordinary transition of the semi-infinite magnetic system) are in excellent agreement with the accepted exact values $y=\nu^{-1}=\frac{4}{3}$ (Nienhuis 1982), $y_{\mathrm{s}}^{0}=1-x_{\mathrm{s}}^{(2)}=-1$ (Burkhardt and Cardy 1987), and $2 x_{\mathrm{s}}^{(1)}=\eta_{i}^{\circ}=\frac{5}{4}$ (Cardy 1984a).

The data in tables 1-3 for critical properties at the polymer adsorption temperature (special transition of the semi-infinite magnetic system) are not nearly so well behaved as the data for the ordinary transition. The estimates for the bulk exponent $y=\nu^{-1}$ are again consistent with the limiting value $\frac{4}{3}$, but the convergence is slower. Also, many of the sequences for surface quantities do not vary monotonically with $L$ but pass through smooth maxima or minima, as seen in figures 1-3. Although such sequences cannot be extrapolated very reliably, we have obtained fairly precise estimates by comparing several different sequences for each of the surface quantities.

Curves 1-3 in figure 1 depict the data from tables 1-3 for $K_{s}^{*}$ at the special transition. We make the plausible assumption that for $L^{-1}<0.1$ curves 1 and 2 continue to approach the limiting value of $K_{s}^{*}$ from below and above, respectively. Then from the last entry in the sequences for $K_{s}^{*}$ in tables 1 and 2 we conclude $0.7727<K_{\mathrm{s}}^{*}<$ 0.7754 . These bounds may be improved slightly by noting that curves 1 and 2 have upward and downward curvature, respectively. Thus if one extrapolates each curve to $L^{-1}=0$ by fitting a straight line through the last two points (see inset, figure 1 ), the exact $K_{s}^{*}$ should lie between the extrapolated values. In this way we obtain our final result $K_{\mathrm{s}}^{*}=0.7738 \pm 0.0008$ and $\exp \left(\varepsilon / k T_{\mathrm{a}}\right)=K_{\mathrm{s}}^{*} / K^{*}=2.041 \pm 0.002$. The value for $\exp \left(\varepsilon / k T_{\mathrm{a}}\right)$ is in good agreement with the results 2.05 and 2.01 of Ishinabe (1983) from two different analyses of exact data for walks with $N \leqslant 21$. Our value for $K_{\mathrm{s}}^{*}$ is somewhat larger than the result $0.65 \pm 0.05$ of Kremer's (1983) real-space renormalisation study.

Unlike conventional magnetic systems on an $L \times \infty$ strip, the $n$-vector model for $n<1$ undergoes one-dimensional transitions (Balian and Toulouse 1974). We obtain additional sequences of estimates for $K_{s}^{*}$ at the special transition from the critical values $K_{\mathrm{s}}^{\mathrm{c}}(L)$ for which $\xi_{L}^{(i)}\left(K_{\mathrm{s}}^{\mathrm{c}}(L), K^{*}\right)=\infty$, with $K^{*}$ given by equation (6). Our results for $K_{s}^{c}(L)$ from the one and two-polymer transfer matrices are listed in table 4 and depicted by curves $4 a$ and $4 b$, respectively, in figure 1 . The curves are smooth and monotonic and when extrapolated with standard algorithms (see, e.g., Henkel and Schütz 1988) yield limiting values $K_{\mathrm{s}}^{*}$ in excellent agreement with our estimates from curves 1 and 2.

We now consider the crossover exponent $\phi^{\mathrm{sp}}=y_{\mathrm{s}}^{\mathrm{sp}} / y=3 y_{\mathrm{s}}^{\mathrm{sp}} / 4$ of the special transition. The data for $y_{\mathrm{s}}^{\text {sp }}$ from tables 1-3 correspond to the lower three curves in figure 2. The upper two curves show the quantity $1-x_{\mathrm{s}}^{(2)}$, with $x_{\mathrm{s}}^{(2)}$ taken from tables 2 and 3. According to equation ( $11 b$ ) these two curves should also converge to $y_{\mathrm{s}}^{\mathrm{sp}}$. The lowest two curves in figure 2 yield the most precise bounds on $y_{\mathrm{s}}^{\mathrm{sp}}$. From the last entry in the corresponding sequences we obtain $0.6630<y_{s}^{\text {sp }}<0.6764$. Since curve 3 has upward curvature and curve 2 has begun to turn downwards near $L^{-1}=0.1$, these


Figure 1. Estimates of the critical surface coupling $K_{s}^{*}$ of the special transition from tables 1-4. The broken lines (see inset) show the linear extrapolations on which our stated uncertainties are based.
bounds may also be improved by linear extrapolation (see figure 2) to $L^{-1}=0$. Our final result is $y_{\mathrm{s}}^{\mathrm{sp}}=0.668 \pm 0.004$, which corresponds to the crossover exponent $\phi^{\mathrm{sp}}=$ $0.501 \pm 0.003$. Within our estimated uncertainty $y_{\mathrm{s}}^{\mathrm{sp}}$ is consistent with the value $\frac{2}{3}$ and $\phi^{\text {sp }}$ with $\frac{1}{2}$. For ideal polymers without the self-avoiding restriction the exact crossover exponent is also $\phi^{\text {sp }}=\frac{1}{2}$ (DiMarzio and McCrackin 1965, Rubin 1965, Eisenriegler et al 1982, Hammersley 1982).

Next we compare our result for the crossover exponent with previous estimates. Ishinabe (1983) finds $\left(\phi^{\mathrm{sp}}\right)^{-1}=1.9$ and $\nu / \phi^{\mathrm{sp}}=1.5$, corresponding to $\phi^{\mathrm{sp}}=0.53$ and 0.50 , respectively, but does not quote an uncertainty. Kremer (1983) estimates $\phi^{\text {sp }}=$ $0.55 \pm 0.1$ from Ishinabe's table III and obtains $0.55 \pm 0.15$ from a real-space renormalisation study. Our result $0.501 \pm 0.003$ is consistent with these estimates and considerably more precise.


Figure 2. Estimates of $y_{s}$ (filled points) and of $1-x_{s}^{(2)}$ (empty points) at the special transition from tables 1-3. The broken lines show the linear extrapolations on which our stated uncertainties are based.

Finally we consider the exponent $\eta_{\|}^{\text {sp }}=2 x_{\mathrm{s}}^{(1)}$ which, as far as we know, has not been estimated previously in two dimensions. Curves 1 and 3 of figure 3 depict the data for $2 x_{\mathrm{s}}^{(1)}$ from tables 1 and 3, respectively. Curves $a$ and $b$ show the quantity $2 L\left[\pi \xi_{L}^{(1)}\left(K_{\mathrm{s}}, K^{*}\right)\right]^{-1}$ (see equation (10)) with $K^{*}$ given by equation (6) and with $K_{\mathrm{s}}=0.7730$ and 0.7745 , respectively. According to our above analysis the exact $K_{\mathrm{s}}^{*}$ lies between these two numbers. Values of $K_{\mathrm{s}}$ between the two numbers yield curves between $a$ and $b$. On the basis of curves $a$ and $b$ (see figure 3) we conclude $\eta^{\text {sp }}=$ $-0.087 \pm 0.008$. The phenomenon of a negative exponent $\eta$, corresponding to critical spin-spin correlations that grow rather than decrease with increasing spin separation, has been encountered previously in the $n \rightarrow 0$ vector model (Saleur 1987b and references therein). Combining equation (11a), the exact bulk exponents $\nu=\frac{3}{4}, \gamma=\frac{43}{32}$, and our estimate for $\eta_{\|}^{\mathrm{sp}}$, we find $\gamma_{1}^{\mathrm{sp}}=1.454 \pm 0.004$.


Figure 3. Curves 1 and 3 show estimates for $\eta_{\|}^{\mathrm{sp}}=2 x_{\mathrm{s}}^{(1)}$ at the special transition from tables 1 and 3. Curves $a$ and $b$ show the quantity $2 L\left[\pi \xi_{L}^{(1)}\left(K_{\mathrm{s}}, K^{*}\right)\right]^{-1}$ with $K_{\mathrm{s}}=0.7730$ and 0.7745 , respectively, and with $K^{*}$ given by equation (6). Our estimate of the exact value is indicated by a heavy vertical bar.

Duplantier and Saleur (1986) have proposed the relation $x_{\mathrm{s}}^{(i)}=h_{i+1,1}$ for the surface scaling dimension of $i$-polymer vertices in the desorbed phase. Here $h_{r, s}$ is defined by the Kac formula $h_{r, s}=\left[(3 r-2 s)^{2}-1\right] / 24$. This conjecture reproduces the exact results $x_{\mathrm{s}}^{(1)}=\frac{5}{8}, x_{\mathrm{s}}^{(2)}=2$ for the ordinary transition discussed above and is consistent with numerical evidence for $i>2$. We note that an analogous relation $x_{\mathrm{s}}^{(i)}=h_{i+1,3}$ is compatible with our results for the special transition, yielding $x_{s}^{(1)}=-\frac{1}{24}$, i.e. $\eta_{\|}^{\mathrm{sP}}=-\frac{1}{12}, \gamma_{1}^{\mathrm{sP}}=\frac{93}{64}$, and $x_{\mathrm{s}}^{(2)}=\frac{1}{3}$, i.e. $y_{\mathrm{s}}^{\mathrm{sp}}=\frac{2}{3}, \phi^{\mathrm{sp}}=\frac{1}{2}$.

In summary, with transfer-matrix finite-size scaling methods we have studied a model for polymer adsorption in two dimensions equivalent to the $n \rightarrow 0$ vector model on a semi-infinite square lattice. For the desorbed polymer phase (ordinary transition of the magnetic system) our results confirm the accepted exact values of several bulk and surface critical exponents. The adsorption temperature and the critical exponents

Table 4. Critical values $K_{s}^{c}$ for which the correlation length $\xi_{L}^{(i)}\left(K_{s}^{c}, K^{*}\right)$ diverges, with $K^{*}$ given by equation (6).

| One polymer |  | Two polymers |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $L$ | $K_{\text {s }}$ | $L$ | $\boldsymbol{K}_{\text {s }}^{\text {c }}$ |
| 2 | 0.7251354 |  |  |
| 3 | 0.7372544 | 3 | 0.9299088 |
| 4 | 0.7436243 | 4 | 0.8974993 |
| 5 | 0.7476726 | 5 | 0.8780769 |
| 6 | 0.7505321 | 6 | 0.8648723 |
| 7 | 0.7526871 | 7 | 0.8551933 |
| 8 | 0.7543837 | 8 | 0.8477333 |
| 9 | 0.7557624 | 9 | 0.8417731 |
| 10 | 0.7569101 | 10 | 0.8368815 |

$\phi^{\mathrm{sp}}, \gamma_{1}^{\mathrm{sp}}$ of the special transition were evaluated with a considerable reduction in the uncertainty of earlier estimates.

## Acknowledgment

We thank the KFA Jülich, where this work was begun, for hospitality. The visit in Jülich was supported by a NATO Collaborative Grant.

Note added in proof. The exact result $\phi^{\mathrm{sp}}=\frac{1}{2}$ has recently been derived with conformal invariance methods (Burkhardt et al 1989).
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